



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
ZACHARY RHODES,  
Defendant.

Case No. 24-MJ-6563

ORDER OF DETENTION

[Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6);  
18 U.S.C. §§ 3143(a)(1), 3148(b)]

I.

On October 29, 2024, Defendant Zachary Rhodes made his initial appearance in this district following his arrest on the petition for warrant to revoke supervised release and warrant for arrest issued in the Southern District of California on August 13, 2024. Deputy Federal Public Defender Shannon Coit was appointed to represent Defendant. The government was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney William Larsen. Defendant submitted on the

1 recommendation of detention in the report prepared by U.S. Probation and Pretrial  
2 Services.

3 II.

4 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. §  
5 3143(a) following Defendant's arrest for alleged violation(s) of the terms of  
6 Defendant's ☐ probation / ☒ supervised release,

7 The Court finds that :

8 A. ☒ Defendant has not carried his burden of establishing by clear  
9 and convincing evidence that Defendant will appear for further proceedings as  
10 required if released [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)]. This finding is based on:

11 ☒ allegations in the petition: (1) On July 27, 2024. Defendant was  
12 arrested for burglary and failed to report the law enforcement contact  
13 regarding the above to his assigned Probation Officer; (2) Defendant left  
14 the district without authorization; and (3) Defendant has not maintained  
15 contact with the U.S. Probation Office since the issuance of the arrest  
16 warrant in this case.

17 ☒ Defendant's criminal history includes a felony conviction for  
18 importing fentanyl for which he was sentenced to 366 days imprisonment  
19 and 36 months of supervised release, numerous law enforcement contacts  
20 in 2020 and 2021, including for failure to appear on a misdemeanor  
21 charge on January 26, 2021.

22 ☒ unverified background information

23 ☒ lack of bail resources

24  
25 B. ☒ Defendant has not carried his burden of establishing by clear  
26 and convincing evidence that Defendant will not endanger the safety of any  
27 other person or the community if released [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)]. This  
28 finding is based on:

☒ criminal history – see above

☒ allegations in the petition (see above)

III.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant is remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal to be removed to the Southern District of California.

The Court directs government counsel to follow up with government counsel in the charging district regarding Defendant's next scheduled date, and provide this information to DFPD Coit in order to monitor the status of Defendant's transportation to, and arrival in, the charging district for her next appearance.

The defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant will be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined will deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)]

Dated: October 29, 2024

/s/

ALKA SAGAR  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE